

# Solutions to Selected Exercises from Chapter 11

## Bain & Engelhardt - Second Edition

Andreas Alfons and Hanno Reuvers  
Erasmus School of Economics, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam

**Exercise 1**

If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then  $\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$ . This implies that  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$  is a pivotal quantity. This pivotal quantity is used in parts (a)-(c).

(a) We have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} < z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

and thus also

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X} - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \bar{X} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

With  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = z_{0.95} = 1.645$  (see Table 3), a 90% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is

$$\left(\bar{x} - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \left(19.3 - 1.645 \frac{3}{\sqrt{16}}, 19.3 + 1.645 \frac{3}{\sqrt{16}}\right) = (18.067, 20.534).$$

(b) By similar steps as in part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} < z_{1-\alpha}\right) &= 1 - \alpha, & \mathbb{P}\left(-z_{1-\alpha} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}\right) &= 1 - \alpha, \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X} - z_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu\right) &= 1 - \alpha, & \mathbb{P}\left(\mu < \bar{X} + z_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

With  $z_{1-\alpha} = z_{0.90} = 1.282$  (see Table 3), one-sided 90% confidence limits for  $\mu$  are

$$\begin{aligned} l(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \bar{x} - z_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 19.3 - 1.282 \frac{3}{\sqrt{16}} = 18.339, \\ u(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \bar{x} + z_{1-\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = 19.3 + 1.282 \frac{3}{\sqrt{16}} = 20.262. \end{aligned}$$

(c) The length of the confidence interval is  $2z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ . We need

$$2z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{\lambda}{2z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma} \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \geq \left(\frac{2z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sigma}{\lambda}\right)^2.$$

For the given numerical values, this evaluates to a required sample size of  $n \geq \left(\frac{2 \cdot 1.645 \cdot 3}{2}\right)^2 = 24.354$ . We round to  $n = 25$ .

(d) The pivotal quantity  $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$  yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(-t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} < \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} < t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X} - t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \bar{X} + t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

With  $t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(n-1) = t_{0.95}(15) = 1.753$  (see Table 6), a 90% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{x} - t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= \left(19.3 - 1.753 \sqrt{\frac{10.24}{16}}, 19.3 + 1.753 \sqrt{\frac{10.24}{16}}\right) \\ &= (17.898, 20.702). \end{aligned}$$

(e) The pivotal quantity  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$  yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2 < \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} < \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2\right) = 1 - \alpha,$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2} < \sigma^2 < \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

With  $\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2(n-1) = \chi_{0.005}^2(15) = 4.60$  and  $\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2(n-1) = \chi_{0.995}^2(15) = 32.80$  (see Table 4), a 99% confidence interval for  $\sigma^2$  is obtained as

$$\left(\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2}\right) = \left(\frac{15 \cdot 10.24}{32.80}, \frac{15 \cdot 10.24}{4.60}\right) = (4.683, 33.391)$$

### Exercise 3

(a) The pivotal quantity  $\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\theta} \sim \chi^2(2n)$  yields  $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\theta} < \chi_{\gamma}^2\right) = \gamma$  and  $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{2n\bar{X}}{\chi_{\gamma}^2} < \theta\right) = \gamma$ . With  $\chi_{\gamma}^2(2n) = \chi_{0.95}^2(100) = 124.34$  (see Table 4), a one-sided lower 95% confidence limit for  $\theta$  is obtained as

$$\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{2n\bar{x}}{\chi_{\gamma}^2} = \frac{2 \cdot 50 \cdot 17.9}{124.34} = 14.396.$$

(b) Note that  $e^{-t/\theta}$  is a monotone increasing transformation of  $\theta$ . This implies that a lower confidence limit for  $\theta$  can be transformed into a lower confidence limit for  $e^{-t/\theta}$ . The details are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0.95 &= \mathbb{P}\left(\ell(X_1, \dots, X_n) < e^{-\frac{t}{\theta}}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\ln \ell(X_1, \dots, X_n) < -\frac{t}{\theta}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(-\frac{t}{\ln \ell(X_1, \dots, X_n)} < \theta\right). \end{aligned}$$

In part (a) we have found the lower bound of 14.396, hence  $-\frac{t}{\ln \ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = 14.396$ . We find  $\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-\frac{t}{14.396}}$  as a one-sided lower 95% confidence limit for  $\mathbb{P}(X > t) = e^{-\frac{t}{\theta}}$ .

*Note:* The exercise states ‘where  $t$  is an arbitrary known value’. Note however that the choice  $t = 0$  should be excluded.

### Exercise 5

- (a) The pdf of the  $\text{EXP}(1, \eta)$  distribution is  $f(x; \eta) = e^{-(x-\eta)}$  and we can integrate to find the cdf  $F(x; \eta) = \int_{\eta}^x e^{-(t-\eta)} dt = 1 - e^{-(x-\eta)}$  for  $x > \eta$  (and zero otherwise). The pdf for the minimum is thus

$$f_{X_{1:n}}(x; \eta) = n[1 - F(x; \eta)]^{n-1} f(x; \eta) = n \left[ e^{-(x-\eta)} \right]^{n-1} e^{-(x-\eta)} = n e^{-n(x-\eta)}, \quad x > \eta.$$

It is clear from the form of this pdf that  $\eta$  is a location parameter. The transformation  $Q = X_{1:n} - \eta$  (with inverse transformation  $X_{1:n} = Q + \eta$ ) yields

$$f_Q(x) = f_{X_{1:n}-\eta}(x; \eta) = n e^{-nx}, \quad x > 0.$$

We see that  $Q \sim \text{EXP}(1/n)$ . This distribution does not depend on  $\eta$  and  $Q$  is thus a pivotal quantity.

- (b) An  $100\gamma\%$  equal tailed confidence interval is given by  $\mathbb{P}(q_1 < Q < q_2) = \gamma$  where the quantiles  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  should satisfy  $\mathbb{P}(Q \leq q_1) = F_Q(q_1) = \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$  and  $\mathbb{P}(Q \geq q_2) = 1 - F_Q(q_2) = \frac{1-\gamma}{2}$ . An explicit calculation of the cdf,  $F_Q(x) = \int_0^x n e^{-nt} dt = 1 - e^{-nx}$ , leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - e^{-nq_1} &= \frac{1-\gamma}{2} & e^{-nq_2} &= \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \\ q_1 &= -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) & q_2 &= -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) < X_{1:n} - \eta < -\frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) \right) &= \gamma \\ \mathbb{P} \left( X_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) < \eta < X_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \right) &= \gamma \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\left( x_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right), x_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \right)$$

is a  $100\gamma\%$  equal tailed confidence interval for  $\eta$ .

- (c) It should be understood from the exercise (although this is not very clear) that the mileages are  $\text{EXP}(\theta, \eta)$  distributed. If  $X \sim \text{EXP}(\theta, \eta)$ , then  $Y = X/\theta$  ( $\theta > 0$ ) has the pdf

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(\theta y; \theta, \eta) |\theta| = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{\theta y - \eta}{\theta}} \theta = e^{-(y - \frac{\eta}{\theta})}, \quad y > \frac{\eta}{\theta}.$$

This is the pdf of an  $\text{EXP}(1, \eta^*)$  distribution where  $\eta^* = \frac{\eta}{\theta}$ . We can use the result from part (b) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left( Y_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) < \frac{\eta}{\theta} < Y_{1:n} + \frac{1}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \right) &= \gamma, \\ \mathbb{P} \left( X_{1:n} + \frac{\theta}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right) < \eta < X_{1:n} + \frac{\theta}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \right) &= \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

A 90% confidence interval for  $\eta$  is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \left( x_{1:n} + \frac{\theta}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{2} \right), x_{1:n} + \frac{\theta}{n} \ln \left( \frac{1+\gamma}{2} \right) \right) &= \left( 162 + \frac{850}{19} \ln(0.05), 162 + \frac{850}{19} \ln(0.95) \right) \\ &= (27.980, 159.705). \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 7

- (a) We need to find the distribution of  $Y = X^2$  when  $X \sim \text{WEI}(\theta, 2)$ . The transformation  $Y = X^2$  has the inverse transformation  $X = \sqrt{Y}$  such that the pdf of  $Y$  is given by

$$f_Y(y; \theta) = f_X(\sqrt{y}; \theta) \left| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \right| = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \sqrt{y} e^{-\left(\frac{\sqrt{y}}{\theta}\right)^2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{\theta^2} e^{-\frac{y}{\theta^2}}, \quad y > 0.$$

We conclude that  $Y \sim \text{EXP}(\theta^2)$ . Using the distributional result from Example 11.2.1, we have  $\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^2} = \frac{2n\bar{Y}}{\theta^2} \sim \chi^2(2n)$ .

- (b) From  $\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^2} \sim \chi^2(2n)$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \chi_{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}^2 < \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^2} < \chi_{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}^2 \right) = \gamma \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}^2}} < \theta < \sqrt{\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}^2}} \right) = \gamma.$$

A  $100\gamma\%$  confidence interval for  $\theta$  is  $\left( \sqrt{\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\chi_{\frac{1+\gamma}{2}}^2}}, \sqrt{\frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\chi_{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}^2}} \right)$ .

- (c) Note that  $\exp[-(t/\theta)^2]$  is an increasing function in  $\theta^2$ . A lower confidence limit for  $\theta^2$  can thus be manipulated into a lower confidence limit for  $\mathbb{P}(X > t) = \exp[-(t/\theta)^2]$ . We find this lower confidence limit from

$$\gamma = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^2} < \chi_\gamma^2 \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{\theta^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} > \frac{1}{\chi_\gamma^2} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \theta^2 > \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_\gamma^2} \right).$$

The remaining steps of the calculation are as follow

$$\gamma = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{1}{\theta^2} < \frac{\chi_\gamma^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{-t^2}{\theta^2} > \frac{-t^2 \chi_\gamma^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} \right) = \mathbb{P} \left( \exp[-(t/\theta)^2] > \exp\left(\frac{-t^2 \chi_\gamma^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}\right) \right),$$

where we used  $t > 0$  (the case  $t = 0$  should be excluded because  $\mathbb{P}(X > 0) = 1$ ). A lower  $100\gamma\%$  confidence limit for  $\exp[-(t/\theta)^2]$  is  $\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \exp\left(\frac{-t^2 \chi_\gamma^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}\right)$ .

- (d) We first need to compute the  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile for the given Weibull distribution. If we denote this percentile by  $x_p$ , then  $x_p$  satisfies the equation  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq x_p) = \frac{p}{100}$ . With

$$F(x; \theta) = \int_0^x \frac{2}{\theta^2} t e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^2} dt = - \int_0^x \left( -\frac{2t}{\theta^2} \right) e^{-\frac{t^2}{\theta^2}} dt = -e^{-\frac{t^2}{\theta^2}} \Big|_0^x = 1 - e^{-\frac{x^2}{\theta^2}}, \quad x > 0,$$

the  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile is obtained as

$$1 - e^{-\frac{x_p^2}{\theta^2}} = \frac{p}{100} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_p = \sqrt{-\theta^2 \ln \left( 1 - \frac{p}{100} \right)}.$$

The expression  $\sqrt{-\theta^2 \ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right)}$  is again an increasing function in  $\theta^2$ . An upper confidence limit for  $\theta^2$  will thus imply an upper confidence limit for the  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile of the distribution. We have

$$\gamma = \mathbb{P}\left(\chi_{1-\gamma}^2 < \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^2}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\theta^2 < \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_{1-\gamma}^2}\right)$$

and by noting that  $-\ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right)$  is a *positive* quantity

$$\gamma = \mathbb{P}\left(-\theta^2 \ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right) < \frac{-2\ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right)\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_{1-\gamma}^2}\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(x_p < \sqrt{\frac{-2\ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right)\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\chi_{1-\gamma}^2}}\right).$$

An upper  $100\gamma\%$  confidence limit for the  $p^{\text{th}}$  percentile is thus  $\sqrt{\frac{-2\ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{100}\right)\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\chi_{1-\gamma}^2}}$ .

### Exercise 11

The setting corresponds to a random sample from the  $\text{BIN}(1, p)$  distribution. If  $X \sim \text{BIN}(1, p)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(X) = p$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = p(1 - p)$ . The CLT implies that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - p)}{\sqrt{p(1 - p)}} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \text{N}(0, 1).$$

Now note that  $\hat{p} = \bar{X}$  is a consistent estimator for  $p$  such that also  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - p)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \text{N}(0, 1)$ .

Hence, for large  $n$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} < \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{p} - p)}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}} < z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) &\approx 1 - \alpha, \\ \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{p} - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}} < p < \hat{p} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}}\right) &\approx 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

With  $\hat{p} = \frac{5}{40} = \frac{1}{8}$  and  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = z_{0.95} = 1.645$  (see Table 3), an approximate 90% confidence interval for  $p$  is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\hat{p} - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}}, \hat{p} + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}}\right) &= \left(\frac{1}{8} - 1.645\sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{8}}{40}}, \frac{1}{8} + 1.645\sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{8}}{40}}\right) \\ &= (0.039, 0.211). \end{aligned}$$

### Exercise 12

- (a) Equation (11.3.20) implies that  $\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{n}}} < z_\gamma\right) \approx \gamma$  for large  $n$ . We need to manipulate the inequality inside the probability. Having this in mind, we define  $\theta = \sqrt{\mu}$ , such that

$$\gamma \approx \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{n}}} < z_\gamma\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \theta^2}{\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{n}}} < z_\gamma\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\theta^2 + \frac{z_\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}\theta - \bar{X} > 0\right).$$

The solutions of the quadratic equation  $\theta^2 + \frac{z_\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}\theta - \bar{X} = 0$  are  $\theta_1 = -\frac{z_\gamma}{2\sqrt{n}} - \sqrt{\frac{z_\gamma^2}{4n} + \bar{X}}$  and  $\theta_2 = -\frac{z_\gamma}{2\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{z_\gamma^2}{4n} + \bar{X}}$ . Since  $\theta > 0$  and  $\theta_1 < 0$ , it always holds that  $\theta - \theta_1 > 0$ , and the above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &\approx \mathbb{P}\left((\theta - \theta_1)(\theta - \theta_2) > 0\right) = \mathbb{P}(\theta - \theta_2 > 0) = \mathbb{P}(\theta_2 < \theta) = \mathbb{P}(\theta_2^2 < \mu) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left(-\frac{z_\gamma}{2\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{z_\gamma^2}{4n} + \bar{X}}\right)^2 < \mu\right).\end{aligned}$$

With  $z_\gamma = z_{0.90} = 1.282$  (see Table 3), an approximate one-sided lower 90% confidence limit for  $\mu$  is obtained as

$$\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(-\frac{z_\gamma}{2\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{z_\gamma^2}{4n} + \bar{x}}\right)^2 = \left(-\frac{1.282}{2\sqrt{45}} + \sqrt{\frac{1.282^2}{4 \cdot 45} + 1.7}\right)^2 = 1.468.$$

(b) For large  $n$ , Equation (11.3.21) yields

$$\gamma \approx \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n}}} < z_\gamma\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bar{X} - z_\gamma \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}}{n}} < \mu\right).$$

With  $z_\gamma = z_{0.90} = 1.282$  (see Table 3), an approximate one-sided lower 90% confidence limit for  $\mu$  is obtained as

$$\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bar{x} - z_\gamma \sqrt{\frac{\bar{x}}{n}} = 1.7 - 1.282 \sqrt{\frac{1.7}{45}} = 1.451$$

### Exercise 19

For the pdf of the  $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  distribution with  $\mu_1$  known, we have  $f(x; \sigma_1^2) = (2\pi\sigma_1^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right)$ .

This pdf is a member of the REC with  $t(x) = (x - \mu_1)^2$ .  $S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \mu_1)^2$  is thus a sufficient statistic for  $\sigma_1^2$ . Similarly,  $S_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_j - \mu_2)^2$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\sigma_2^2$ .

The mean and standard deviation of the normal distribution are location-scale parameters. It is therefore easily shown that  $\frac{X_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}, \dots, \frac{X_{n_1} - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \sim N(0, 1)$  and  $\frac{Y_1 - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}, \dots, \frac{Y_{n_2} - \mu_2}{\sigma_2} \sim N(0, 1)$  and this implies both  $\frac{S_1}{\sigma_1^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{X_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 \sim \chi^2(n_1)$  and  $\frac{S_2}{\sigma_2^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{Y_j - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^2 \sim \chi^2(n_2)$ . By taking ratios and rescaling we can find the pivotal quantity:

$$\frac{n_2 \sigma_2^2 S_1}{n_1 \sigma_1^2 S_2} = \frac{\left(\frac{S_1}{\sigma_1^2}\right)/n_1}{\left(\frac{S_2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)/n_2} \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\chi^2(n_1)/n_1}{\chi^2(n_2)/n_2} \sim F(n_1, n_2),$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  is used to denote equivalence in distribution. Denoting the  $\alpha$  quantile of the  $F(n_1, n_2)$  distribution by  $f_\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(f_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} < \frac{n_2 S_1 \sigma_2^2}{n_1 S_2 \sigma_1^2} < f_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}\left(f_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{n_1 S_2}{n_2 S_1} < \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2} < f_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{n_1 S_2}{n_2 S_1}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}$  is  $\left(f_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{n_1 S_2}{n_2 S_1}, f_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{n_1 S_2}{n_2 S_1}\right)$ .